



# Principal Adverse Impact Statement MN Vermogensbeheer BV

## Financial market participant

Mn Services Vermogensbeheer B.V.

LEI Code 50APJ35MIXAM2BY1Z45

## Summary

Mn Services Vermogensbeheer B.V. (hereinafter: MN), LEI code 50APJ35MIXAM2BY1Z45, takes account of the principal adverse effects of its investment decisions on sustainability factors. This document addresses how MN deals with the principal adverse effects.

This document concerns the reference period from 1 January 2022 to 31 December 2022. From 2023 on, the measured principal adverse effects will be reported on for the year 2022. This statement will be updated before the end of June each year with the measured principal adverse effects.

This statement has been drawn up pursuant to the European Union's legislation on sustainability, namely the Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation (SFDR). Investment decisions affect the world, and investments can have favourable but also adverse effects on sustainability factors. These sustainability factors concern a wide range of environmental, social, and employment issues, for example, respect for human rights or the preservation of biodiversity. The SFDR designates a number of issues as the principal negative effects on sustainability factors in the form of 14 mandatory indicators on sustainability issues for companies, 2 mandatory indicators for public authorities and supranational institutions, and 2 mandatory indicators for real-estate assets. MN has also selected 2 additional indicators regarding ESG issues. Many of these issues are factored into investment decisions in large parts of the equity, corporate bond, government bond, and real estate portfolios. This means that the relevant indicator or a similar issue is included in the exclusion policy, the composition of investment portfolios, the voting policy, or an engagement programme.

# Description of the principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors

The table below sets out the principal adverse effects of investments on sustainability factors. This table will be updated before 30 June 2023 with the quarterly measurements for the previous year, 2022. For each adverse effect, a score will be included that says something about the extent of the measured adverse effects. It also describes the activities undertaken to mitigate them. Various kinds of activities may be undertaken, such as using shareholder voting rights, engaging in dialogue with companies, or excluding certain investments.

## Our approach to mitigating adverse impacts

The way in which MN takes account of the principal negative effects of investments on sustainability factors differs according to the subject and investment category. The table describes per issue what activities MN undertakes. The table explains the activities and indicates the asset category for which this approach is relevant.

## Exclusions

MN and its clients refrain from investing in companies whose products or services are deemed to have a negative impact on society, such as controversial weapons, tobacco, small arms for civilian use, adult entertainment, and fur.

## ESG integration

Through principles-driven portfolio preconditions, companies, countries and real estate with sub-standard ESG performance are excluded from investment portfolios so as to mitigate the principal negative effects on sustainability factors. The following criteria are applied.

- The sustainability of companies' operations is assessed based on various issues, such as CO2 emissions, energy and water consumption, ethics, anti-corruption, and human and labour rights. The conviction is that companies with a low ESG score, or no score, are responsible for the greatest adverse effects on sustainability factors. These companies are therefore excluded from the liquid equity and corporate bond investment portfolios. In the case of the equity portfolios, there is also a specific focus on companies with activities that could have a major impact on the environment. Companies operating in sectors with a high climate risk that have the lowest score are excluded from the investment portfolio so as to mitigate the principal adverse environmental effects. Companies in a number of corporate bond portfolios are qualitatively assessed as regards ESG themes as part of the credit assessment.
- MN and its clients do not invest in countries that score poorly on financial and social aspects, so as to limit the principal negative effects of investments on sustainability factors. Based on the countries framework, public authorities are assessed regarding various issues such as vulnerability to climate risks, the country's willingness and ability to adapt to climate change, degree of democracy and corruption, and social development. If a country's score for any of these indicators is unsatisfactory, it is excluded from the government bond investment portfolios.
- In the case of real estate investments, energy efficiency is important when deciding whether to invest in a particular property. This is assessed based, among other things, on energy labels and energy consumption. Efforts are underway in a number of portfolios to make properties more energy efficient.

Besides the demarcation of what can be invested in, based on the above preconditions, there is room for the investment teams and external managers to assess which specific companies, countries, and properties to invest in. ESG aspects are taken into account when selecting external asset managers and investment institutions. For property managers, participation in the GRESB benchmark is mandatory; other asset managers are expected to sign the UN Principles for Responsible Investment (PRI), and to have a clear ESG policy and process of ESG integration in place. External asset managers are required to take account of ESG aspects when making investment decisions. External managers are assessed annually based on the "Planet test", whereby points are given for the quality of the policy, ESG integration, and ESG reporting.

### **Active ownership**

Besides excluding companies, MN aims to influence companies' strategies for mitigating the adverse effects on sustainability factors. If MN thinks there is room for improvement, it addresses companies about this.

- **Engagement:** Engagement is pursued through dialogue programmes on a variety of issues with a selection of companies in the listed equity and corporate bond portfolios. That engagement is either of a thematic nature regarding issues such as a living wage or income, biodiversity, the energy transition, and the policy on remuneration for executives, or focuses on mitigating specific abuses where companies fail to comply sufficiently with international standards on human and labour rights. The latter type of engagement is pursued if serious controversies are detected at companies following periodic screening.
- **Voting:** Voting also takes place at shareholder meetings of listed companies in the equity portfolios on issues such as good corporate governance and climate.

## Indicators applicable to investments in investee companies

Adverse sustainability indicator	Metric	Impact [2022]	Impact [year n-1]	Explanation	Actions taken
<b>Climate and other environment-related indicators</b>					
Greenhouse gas emissions	1. GHG emissions	Scope 1 GHG emissions			ESG integration, energy transition engagement programme and Dutch listed companies engagement programme, voting.
		Scope 2 GHG emissions			
		Scope 3 GHG emissions			
		Total GHG emissions			
	2. Carbon footprint	Carbon footprint			ESG integration, energy transition engagement programme
	3. GHG intensity of investee companies	GHG intensity of investee companies			ESG integration, energy transition engagement programme
	4. Exposure to companies active in the fossil fuel sector	Share of investments in companies active in the fossil fuel sector			ESG integration, energy transition engagement programme
	5. Share of non-renewable energy consumption and production	Share of non-renewable energy consumption and non-renewable energy production of investee companies from non-renewable energy sources compared to renewable energy sources, expressed as a percentage of total energy sources			ESG integration, energy transition engagement programme
	6. Energy consumption intensity per high impact climate sector	Energy consumption in GWh per million EUR of revenue of investee companies, per high impact climate sector			ESG integration

Adverse sustainability indicator		Metric	Impact [2022]	Impact [year n-1]	Explanation	Actions taken
Biodiversity	7. Activities negatively affecting biodiversity-sensitive areas	Share of investments in investee companies with sites/operations located in or near to biodiversity-sensitive areas where activities of those investee companies negatively affect those areas				ESG integration, engagement regarding controversies
Water	8. Emissions to water	Tonnes of emissions to water generated by investee companies per million EUR invested, expressed as a weighted average				ESG integration, engagement regarding controversies
Waste	9. Hazardous waste and radioactive waste ratio	Tonnes of hazardous waste and radioactive waste generated by investee companies per million EUR invested, expressed as a weighted average				ESG integration, engagement regarding controversies

Adverse sustainability indicator	Metric	Impact [2022]	Impact [year n-1]	Explanation	Actions taken
<b>Indicators for social and employee, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters</b>					
Social and employee matters	10. Violations of UN Global Compact principles and Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises	Share of investments in investee companies that have been involved in violations of the UNGC principles or OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises			ESG integration, engagement through the living wage programme in the garment industry and living wage in the agrifood sector, engagement regarding controversies
	11. Lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises	Share of investments in investee companies without policies to monitor compliance with the UNGC principles or OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises or grievance/complaints handling mechanisms to address violations of the UNGC principles or OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises			
	12. Unadjusted gender pay gap	Average unadjusted gender pay gap of investee companies			ESG integration
	13. Board gender diversity	Average ratio of female to male board members in investee companies, expressed as a percentage of all board members			SG integration, engagement with Dutch listed companies, voting
	14. Exposure to controversial weapons (anti-personnel mines, cluster munitions, chemical weapons and biological weapons)	Share of investments in investee companies involved in the manufacture or selling of controversial weapons			Exclusion

Adverse sustainability indicator		Metric	Impact [2022]	Impact [year n-1]	Explanation	Actions taken
<b>Indicators applicable to investments in sovereigns and supranationals</b>						
Environmental	15. GHG intensity	GHG intensity of investee countries				ESG integration
Social	16. Investee countries subject to social violations	Number of investee countries subject to social violations (absolute number and relative number divided by all investee countries), as referred to in international treaties and conventions, United Nations principles and, where applicable, national law				ESG integration
<b>Indicators applicable to investments in real estate assets</b>						
Fossil fuels	17. Exposure to fossil fuels through real estate assets	Share of investments in real estate assets involved in the extraction, storage, transport or manufacture of fossil fuels				Exclusion – The real estate investments concern mainly residential, retail, and office properties. Exposure to the fossil fuel industry through real estate does not therefore occur.
Energy efficiency	18. Exposure to energy-inefficient real estate assets	Share of investments in energy-inefficient real estate assets				ESG integration

## Other indicators for principal adverse effects on sustainability factors

### Indicators applicable to investments in investee companies

Adverse sustainability indicator		Metric	Impact [2022]	Impact [year n-1]	Explanation	Actions taken
<b>Climate and other environment-related indicators</b>						
Emissions	4. Investments in companies without carbon emission reduction initiatives	Share of investments in investee companies without carbon emission reduction initiatives aimed at aligning with the Paris Agreement				ESG integration, energy transition engagement programme
<b>Indicators for social and employee, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters</b>						
Human rights	9. Lack of a human rights policy	Share of investments in entities without a human rights policy				Engagement through the living wage programme in the garment industry and living wage in the agrifood sector, engagement regarding controversies



# Description of policies to identify and prioritise principal adverse effects on sustainability factors

MN's priorities regarding the principal adverse effects are closely linked to those of its clients, the pension funds. MN has developed a process to identify, assess, and implement ESG issues, the outcome of which has been set out in an ESG matrix. The matrix identifies the impact of MN's investment activities on people, the environment, and society (ESG) and vice versa. Using the ESG matrix as a tool, MN can convey which ESG themes are material to its clients and should be prioritised.

The sources underlying the risk analysis consist of information from data providers, publicly available (company) information, and information derived from discussions with stakeholders, such as NGOs and trade unions.

In the subsequent prioritisation process, MN considers, on the one hand, themes that should be prioritised on the basis of due diligence, based on the severity of the impact and the likelihood of the risk occurring. This involves assessing the severity of consequences based on the scale, scope, and irreversibility of the identified negative impacts. On the other, MN considers which themes fit in with the preferences and identity of its clients and its pension participants.

For the most relevant ESG themes, a vision is elaborated in a position paper, which also specifies the most appropriate instrument for dealing with the prioritised theme and mitigating the identified adverse effects.

## **Responsibilities for policy and approval**

The policy and process document for identifying and prioritising the principal adverse effects is closely linked to implementation of the voting policy, the engagement programme, the exclusion policy, and investment strategies because these documents set out how sustainability factors are considered in investment decisions.

Final responsibility for MN's overall investment policy lies with the board of Vermogensbeheer B.V., which is part of MN Services N.V. The Vermogensbeheer management team has operational responsibility for carrying out the asset management of MN's clients. The Vermogensbeheer management team reports to the board of MN Vermogensbeheer B.V.

The above components are set out in the Responsible Investment Policy. This policy was adopted by the Board on 13 December 2022 and is reviewed every year.

## **Margin of error for methodologies**

The adverse effects designated as significant by the SFDR largely correspond to the issues identified by MN and its clients. A variety of information is utilised in identifying and prioritising the principal adverse effects, for example information from data providers and publicly available information. This information may not always be accurate and there are many different ways to weight and aggregate data in the form of ESG scores. As regards the availability of information, information on large companies is more readily available and large companies are also more likely to be exposed to controversies because of the broader scope of their activities and value chain. This may mean that certain principal adverse effects have hitherto been under-reported in publicly available information where smaller companies or low-profile asset categories are concerned.

## **Description of the data sources used**

MN uses two data providers to report on the principal adverse effects: MSCI and ISS. ISS data is used for PAI 1 to 3. For the other indicators, MN uses MSCI data. This data is available in particular for a number of asset categories, namely listed equities, corporate bonds, and government bonds. For real estate data, data is used that is reported by MN and the external real estate asset and fund managers to Global Real Estate Sustainability Benchmarks (GRESB).

## Engagement policy

As representative of its clients, MN is an engaged shareholder. This means that it monitors how companies (in which it invests on behalf of its clients) deal with sustainability factors. If necessary, MN enters into dialogue with these companies. In this way, it exerts influence on companies. MN also votes at shareholder meetings of companies in which clients hold shares. The engagement policy is drawn up in consultation with clients and is then implemented by MN.

As regards adverse effects on sustainability factors, certain themes play a role in the voting policy and engagement programme. If the adverse effects are not reduced sufficiently, MN will reconsider the themes and the associated voting policy and/or engagement programme.

More information on the engagement policy can be found [here](#).

## Reference to international standards

### **Climate**

MN endorses the importance of the Paris Climate Agreement. In addition, MN is a signatory to the Paris Agreement, the Dutch Climate Agreement, and the United Nations Principles for Responsible Investment (PRI).

MN's objectives include managing climate risks and contributing to the energy transition. For example, MN enters into dialogue with companies that can be of major significance for the energy transition and that are at high risk if they do not adjust their business model. To assess whether the reduction targets of a specific company with which it engages are in line with the Paris Agreement, MN applies the sector-specific reduction pathways of the Transition Pathway Initiative (TPI) and the International Energy Agency's (IEA) 2021 Net Zero Scenario.

MN also takes account of climate change when developing new investment strategies, for example by not investing in investments with high climate risks.

MN reports annually on the carbon footprint of its investments. By signing the Montreal Pledge, MN has committed itself to measuring and publishing the carbon footprint of its equity portfolio annually. MN also reports on the targets and metrics used based on the framework of the Task Force on Climate Related Financial Disclosures (TCFD).

### **Labour rights and human rights**

It is important that companies respect labour rights and human rights and also make use of their influence within the supply chain so that their partners and suppliers do the same. It is a matter of ensuring that people around the world can do their work safely and healthily, but also that they are entitled to a living wage or income and that they do not need to be afraid to raise the matter of abuses in and around the workplace. To assess the progress of companies within the engagement programmes on those issues, MN makes use, as a key point of reference, of the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights, the UN Global Compact Principles, and the ILO conventions.

### **Good corporate governance: remuneration and taxation**

MN believes it is important that companies and countries are properly managed. Companies need to act as good employers by remunerating staff well and dealing fairly and proportionately with the remuneration policy for the top echelon of the company. There must be clear and transparent procedures for developing executive remuneration policies and bonuses. As a long-term investor, MN also finds it important that companies do not focus on maximising short-term profits but on the company's good long-term performance and the sustainability of its business model. Remuneration policy should therefore also focus on the long-term performance and sustainability of the company.

## Historical comparison

This section will compare the negative effects between different years. As of June 2024, the adverse effects will have been measured over several years. From then on, a comparative analysis will be carried out to determine the trend in adverse effects over several years.